for assistance of up to \$75,000 per year and not \$75,000 maximum over the life of the farm bill.

Our bill also increases Federal purchases of fruits and vegetables for use on nutrition programs, such as the Commodity Food Supplemental Assistance Program. I have been a longtime supporter of nutrition programs because they are a win for farmers and a win for the most vulnerable of our citizens-children, seniors, and the poor. Specialty crop farmers benefit by having a market to which to sell their fruits and vegetables. And children, seniors, and those with low incomes receive healthy and balanced meals. One of the key provisions of the Craig-Stabenow bill is the correction of USDA's chronic misinterpretation of section 10603 of the 2002 farm bill. This section instructs USDA to purchase at least \$200 million of fruits and vegetables annually over and above the purchases they currently make. Unfortunately, USDA is not complying with this provision. Instead of adding the \$200 million on top of baseline spending for school lunch and senior programs, USDA has eliminated the baseline spending so there is no guarantee of any new spending on fruits and vegetables for our children. In fact, in 2002 USDA did not even meet the minimum purchase requirement; only \$181 million in fresh fruits and vegetables were purchased. The Specialty Crop Competitiveness Act will correct this discrepancy and provide our Nation's children with much needed fruits and vegetables.

In addition, the Specialty Crops Competition Act improves growers' access to foreign markets by requiring the Animal Plant Health Inspection Service, APHIS, to create a division to handle industry petitions on sanitary and phytosanitary barriers to specialty crop exports, increase technical assistance funding for specialty crops, and study the effects of recent trade agreements and propose a strategy for specialty crop producers to more effectively benefit from international trade opportunities.

I am pleased to offer the Specialty Crops Competition Act of 2006 with Senator CRAIG. This is just one more step in ensuring the future of specialty crop production in the United States. As the Senate begins work on reauthorization of the farm bill, Senator CRAIG and I will continue to work with specialty crop farmers and growers' associations to improve and expand this legislation. Supporting American specialty crop growers and providing nutritious fruits and vegetables to American people is vital to ensuring our own health and the health of our economy. I hope that my colleagues will join me and support the Specialty Crops Competition Act of 2006.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 416—RECOGNIZING THE VICTIMS OF HURRICANE RITA 6 MONTHS AFTER THE DISASTER, COMMENDING THE RESILIENCY OF THE PEOPLE OE SOUTHWEST LOUISIANA AND SOUTHEAST TEXAS, AND COMMITTING TO STAND BY THEM IN THEIR RELIEF AND REBUILDING EFFORTS

Mr. VITTER (for himself, Mr. CORNYN, Mrs. HUTCHISON, and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 416

Whereas, on September 24, 2005, Hurricane Rita reached landfall causing extensive and significant damage along the Louisiana and extreme southeastern Texas coasts;

Whereas Hurricane Rita was named the fourth most intense Atlantic Hurricane ever recorded and the most intense tropical cyclone observed in the Gulf of Mexico:

Whereas the storm caused the loss of power in 700,000 homes in the State of Louisiana;

Whereas the total damage is estimated at \$9,400,000,000, making Hurricane Rita the ninth-costliest storm in the history of the United States:

Whereas the human suffering continues for thousands of people who have lost loved ones, homes, and livelihoods;

Whereas immediate humanitarian aid is still critically needed in many of the areas affected by Hurricane Rita;

Whereas Federal, State, and local first responders, the National Guard, and many ordinary citizens have risked their lives to save others:

Whereas the American Red Cross, the Salvation Army, local religious organizations, and other volunteer organizations and charities continue to supply victims with necessities:

Whereas the State of Texas and numerous other States have welcomed thousands of victims from Louisiana and continue to provide them with aid and comfort; and

Whereas thousands of volunteers and government employees from across the Nation have committed time and resources to help with recovery efforts: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

- (1) expresses the condolences of the Nation to the victims of Hurricane Rita:
- (2) recognizes the 6-month anniversary of the disaster;
- (3) commends the resiliency and courage of the people of the States of Louisiana and Texas: and
- (4) commits to providing the necessary resources and to standing by the people of the States of Louisiana and Texas in the relief, recovery, and rebuilding efforts in the areas impacted by Hurricane Rita.

SENATE RESOLUTION 417—HON-ORING THE NATIONAL ASSOCIA-TION OF STATE VETERANS HOMES AND THE 119 STATE VET-ERANS HOMES PROVIDING LONG-TERM CARE TO VETERANS THAT ARE REPRESENTED BY THAT AS-SOCIATION FOR THEIR CON-TRIBUTIONS TO THE HEALTH CARE OF VETERANS AND THE HEALTH-CARE SYSTEM OF THE NATION

Mr. LAUTENBERG (for himself, Mrs. Dole, Mr. Craig, Mr. Akaka, Mr. FRIST. Ms. Stabenow, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. MENENDEZ, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. BIDEN, Mr. Kerry, Mr. KENNEDY, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Nelson of Nebraska, Mr. Dorgan, Mr. SALAZAR, Mr. COLEMAN, SUNUNU, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. CHAFEE, ISAKSON, Mr. INHOFE. SANTORUM, Mr. SCHUMER, Mrs. CLINTON, Ms. Snowe, Mr. Chambliss, Mr. Burns, Mrs. Hutchison, Mr. Gregg, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. VOINOVICH, Mr. VITTER, and Mr. BINGAMAN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 417

Whereas the National Association of State Veterans Homes was established in 1954 by a group of administrators of State veterans homes to represent the interests of those homes in a unified voice before Congress and the executive branch;

Whereas the National Association of State Veterans Homes functions on an all-volunteer basis and focuses on endeavors that improve the conditions of care furnished to veterans by State veterans homes, elevate and monitor the qualifications for managers of such homes, and provide continuing education standards for staff who provide care to veterans in such homes;

Whereas the National Association of State Veterans Homes has been and continues to be in the forefront of developing and supporting new methods and models for providing long-term care services to elderly veterans, such as hospice care, respite care, Alzheimer's care, and adult day health care;

Whereas State veterans homes, which provide long-term care to thousands of veterans, were established initially in the States of Connecticut, Kansas, Ohio, and Maine in 1868 to house, feed, and care for thousands of homeless, wounded, and permanently scarred Union soldiers and thus have been in existence since before the establishment of the Department of Veterans Affairs, the earlier Veterans' Administration, and its predecessor agencies;

Whereas in 1888 Congress authorized the Federal payment of a daily allowance for the care of each former soldier or sailor in a State home-hospital, an allowance that continues today in the form of a per diem grant program administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs that is authorized to provide up to 50 percent of the average daily cost of care, but currently provides only approximately 30 percent;

Whereas the Department of Veterans Affairs further participates in the care of veterans in State homes with a matching grant program to support construction and major renovation projects to sustain those homes and build towards sufficient levels of available, high-quality health care;

Whereas State veterans homes offer longterm services to eligible veterans in need of such services on certification of the Department of Veterans Affairs at 119 facilities in 47 States and the Commonwealth of Puerto

Whereas the States determine the allocation of nursing home beds in individual State veterans home facilities, and establish the eligibility of veterans and their dependents to occupy those beds, following guidelines;

Whereas within the limits of their capacities, State veterans homes provide care for more than 27,500 veterans each day, accounting for more than 50 percent of the total national long-term care bed capacity for veterans, thereby sharing the enormous responsibility of caring for veterans with the Department of Veterans Affairs in an admirable partnership:

Whereas State veterans homes provide quality care for elderly and disabled veterans at an average daily cost that is significantly less than nursing homes operated by the Department of Veterans Affairs;

Whereas the number of elderly veterans. particularly those over age 85, continues to rise, and the need for long-term care services for those veterans will continue to rise in the coming years; and

Whereas the Nation's State veterans homes continue to achieve their purpose of improving and sustaining the health of elderly, sick, and severely disabled veterans by assuring access to affordable nursing care in settings that provide personal dignity to truly deserving veterans, often at the end of lives spent in service to the Nation: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate-

- (1) honors the National Association of State Veterans Homes and the 119 State veterans homes providing long-term care to veterans that are represented by that association for their significant contributions to the health care of veterans and to the health care system of the Nation;
- (2) commends the thousands of individuals who work in, or on behalf of, State veterans homes for their contributions in caring for elderly and disabled veterans;
- (3) recognizes the importance of the partnership between the States and the Department of Veterans Affairs in providing longterm care to veterans; and
- (4) affirms the support of Congress for continuation of the State homes program to address the known and anticipated needs of the Nation's veterans for institutional long-term care services.

SENATE RESOLUTION 418-DESIG-NATING THE WEEK BEGINNING APRIL 2, 2006, AS "WEEK OF THE YOUNG CHILD"

Mr. SALAZAR (for himself, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. DODD, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. KERRY, MR. BURR, Mr. LEVIN, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CONRAD, and Mrs. MUR-RAY) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed

S. RES. 418

Whereas there are 20,000,000 children under the age of 5 in the United States;

Whereas numerous studies, including the Abecedarian Study, the Study of the Chicago Child-Parent Center, and the High/Scope Perry Preschool Study, indicate that low-income children who have enrolled in quality, comprehensive early childhood education programs-

- (1) improve their cognitive, language, physical, social, and emotional development; and
 - (2) are less likely to-
 - (A) be placed in special education;

(B) drop out of school; or

(C) engage in juvenile delinquency;

Whereas the enrollment rates of children under the age of 5 in early childhood eduprograms have steadily since 1965 with-

- (1) the creation of the Head Start program carried out under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9831 et seq.);
- (2) the establishment of the Early Head Start program carried out under the Head Start Act (42 U.S.C. 9831 et seq.); and
- (3) the enactment of the Child Care and Development Block Grant Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 9858 et sea.):

Whereas many children eligible for, and in need of, quality early childhood education services are not served due to inadequate funding:

Whereas over 4,000,000 children under the age of 5 live in poverty; Whereas only about ½ of all preschoolers

who are eligible to participate in Head Start programs have the opportunity to do so, and even fewer eligible babies and toddlers receive the opportunity to participate in Early Head Start:

Whereas only about 1 out of every 7 eligible children receives an amount of child care assistance sufficient to-

- (1) enable the parents of the child to continue working; and
- (2) provide the child with safe and nurturing early childhood care and education;

Whereas, although State and local governments have responded to the numerous benefits of early childhood education by making significant investments in programs and classrooms, there remains-

- (1) a large unmet need for those services;
- (2) a need to improve the quality of those programs; and

Whereas, according to numerous studies on the impact of investments in high-quality early childhood education, the programs yield to the public a return of 4 dollars to 13 dollars for each dollar invested: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate-

- (1) designates the week beginning April 2. 2006, as "Week of the Young Child"
- (2) encourages the citizens of the United States to celebrate-
- (A) young children; and
- (B) the citizens who provide care and early childhood education to the young children of the United States; and
- (3) urges the citizens of the United States to recognize the importance of-
- (A) quality, comprehensive early childhood education programs; and
- (B) the value of those services for preparing children to-
- (i) appreciate future educational experiences; and
- (ii) enjoy lifelong success.

SENATE RESOLUTION 419—EX-PRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE NEW UNITED NATIONS HUMAN RIGHTS COUN-CIL FAILS TO ADEQUATELY RE-FORM $_{
m THE}$ UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS, THUS PREVENTING THAT BODY FROM BECOMING AN EFFECTIVE MONITOR OF HUMAN RIGHTS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. INHOFE) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. Res. 419 Whereas the United Nations Commission on Human Rights was created in 1946 to mon-

itor and prevent the abuse of human rights throughout the world:

Whereas, since its creation in 1946, the United Nations Commission on Human Rights failed to consistently uphold the ideals contained in-

- (1) the United Nations Charter; and
- (2) the Universal Declaration on Human Rights:

Whereas the United Nations Commission on Human Rights had been particularly ineffective because the membership of the commission included some of the worst abusers of human rights in the world, including-

- (1) Cuba:
- (2) Sudan;
- (3) Libya;
- (4) Belarus;
- (5) China; and
- (6) Zimbabwe;
- Whereas the United Nations Commission on Human Rights failed to act or speak out against numerous cases of egregious human rights abuses, including-
 - (1) the many abuses of communism;
 - (2) the genocide in Rwanda in 1994; and
- (3) the ongoing genocide in Darfur caused by the Government of Sudan:
- Whereas the United Nations Commission on Human Rights failed to condemn countries that sponsor terrorism, including-
 - (1) Iran:
 - (2) Syria; and
- (3) North Korea;

Whereas the United Nations Commission on Human Rights had repeatedly singled out Israel, the only democracy in the Middle East, for criticism, while overlooking serious human rights abuses throughout that region of the world;

Whereas President Bush and the United Nations Secretary-General, Kofi Annan, have repeatedly emphasized that meaningful reform of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights is a key element for making the United Nations more accountable, effective, and efficient:

Whereas the creation of the new Human Rights Council on March 15, 2006, failed to address the serious shortcomings of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and fell far short of creating the small standing body composed of appropriate countries that was initially envisioned by the United Nations Secretary-General, Kofi Annan, in his March 2005 report, "In Larger Freedom: Towards Development, Security and Human Rights For All"

Whereas the new United Nations Human Rights Council succeeds only in making superficial changes to the structure of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights:

Whereas the new United Nations Human Rights Council does not-

- (1) embody the recommended institutional reforms necessary to advance human rights;
- (2) monitor cases of human rights abuse throughout the world; and
- (3) prevent egregious human rights violators from being elected to the council;

Whereas the new United Nations Human Rights Council only reduces the number of seats on the council from 53 to 47, which is not enough to make the council more efficient or more effective;

Whereas the new United Nations Human Rights Council also maintains many geographical quotas that will only ensure that human rights abusers will continue to have access to membership on the council;

Whereas the new United Nations Human Rights Council is not supported by some of the leading non-governmental institutions in